69

Systematic Review

Is driver education contributing towards road safety? a systematic review of systematic reviews

Maryam Akbari^a, Kamran B. Lankarani^{a,*}, Seyed Taghi Heydari^a, Seyed Abbas Motevalian^b Reza Tabrizi^c, Mark J.M.Sullman^d

^a Health Policy Research Center, Institute of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

^b School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

^c Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran.

^d Department of Social Sciences, University of Nicosia, Cyprus.

KEY WORDS	Summary:
	Background: There is a vast amount of literature on the effects of driver education. However,
	the evidence has become somewhat fragmented, making it challenging to understand driver
Driving education	education's effectiveness for improving road safety. The current study aimed to provide the
Road safety	efficacy of pre-LDE and post-LDE interventions aimed at improving the safety of drivers
Quarnian of	(includes crashes, injuries, or secondary outcomes).
Overview of -	Methods: The following online databases were searched up to the 21st of February 2020:
systematic reviews	Web of Science (WOS), Scopus, PubMed, Cochrane library, and other relevant databases.
	Systematic reviews (SR) and meta-analyses were selected to investigate the efficacy of driving
	education in reducing crashes, injuries, or secondary outcomes. Two investigators independently
	conducted the data extraction and used the assessment of multiple systematic reviews (AMSTAR)
	tool to conduct a quality assessment of each SR identified.
	Results: Out of the 229 potential articles, seven SRs were eligible for the current overview of
	systematic reviews and meta-analyses. This overview showed that pre-and post-license
	education by people of all ages led to improvements in secondary outcomes, such as
	performance, self-perceived driving abilities, behind-the-wheel driving performance, and even
	a small decrease in traffic offenses. However, education was not effective in reducing crashes or
	injuries, either at the individual or community level.
	Conclusion: There was no evidence that driver education is an effective approach to reducing
	crashes or injuries. This negative result might be due to ineffective teaching methods. To improve
Presived. 2020.00.04	road safety, it appears necessary to change the method or content of driving education since
Accepted: 2020-05-04	the current approaches to driving education do not reduce traffic crashes or injuries.

* Corresponding Author at:

Kamran B. Lankarani: MD, Health Policy Research Center, Institute of Health, 8th Floor, Building No. 2, School of Medicine, Zand Ave., Shiraz, I.R. Iran. Tel +98-71- 32309615, Fax +98-71- 32309615, Email: <u>lankaran@sums.ac.ir</u> (B. Lankarani K.). https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7524-9017

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The Problem

A ccording to a recent road safety report, the aim of reducing road deaths by 50% by 2020 is that in the context of the United Nations Decade of Action for Road Safety, it will not be met.¹ This report also noted that the progress in reducing average road traffic fatalities in the period 2013-17 has been slower than in the period 2010-13 (0.5% vs. 2.6%).¹

Internationally, approaches to road safety management have focused on the central pillars, such as engineering, enforcement, education, evaluation, the speed of emergency responses, as well as improving vehicles and roads.²⁻³ Due to the practical and feasibility of training or education, among these central pillars, this is a common approach for improving safety, especially in developing countries that have limited resources.⁴

The training and education of drivers can be placed into two main categories, which are pre-license education and post-license driver education (pre-LDE and post-LDE). Pre-LDE comes in two common forms, school-based road safety education and one-to-one driving instruction with professional driving instructors.⁵ The procedural and cognitive skills training tend to be combined in pre-LDE but are treated separately in post-LDE.⁵ Previous research has found school-based driver education (pre-LDE) has been found to lead to earlier licensing but not to reduce crash involvement among teenagers.⁶ Furthermore, pre-LDE using driving simulators was not found to change the driving style significantly.⁷

Research has found moderately improved knowledge, driving awareness, and driving performance in a number of systematic reviews (SRs) on post-LDE among older people, but no reduction in crashes and injuries.⁸⁻⁹ In addition, there is no evidence that education for improving driver behavior and post-LDE has significantly reduced road injuries or crashes, although a small decrease in the occurrence of traffic offenses was reported.¹⁰ In contrast, there is some evidence that education has a somewhat positive impact on outcomes such as crash rates. However, these studies would be considered low on Sackett's levels of evidence.¹¹ In a study,¹² cognitive training for drivers was found to significantly reduce motor vehicle collision involvement per year and per mile driven. In a group-based education program have been found a reduction in collisions among old-aged drivers by following exposure to a 1 imes90 min session every two years.¹³ Furthermore, a systematic review reported that older driver training, with programs tailored to individual participants, improved their self-perceived driving ability, their behind-thewheel performance, and reduced crashes.¹⁴ Therefore, the contribution of driver education towards road safety remains somewhat controversial.

Although there are several systematic reviews (SRs), there are currently no overviews of the systematic reviews and meta-analyses on the efficacy of pre-LDE and post-LDE interventions aimed at improving the safety of drivers (includes crashes, injuries, or secondary outcomes). The current study was conducted to provide a comprehensive overview of pre-LDE and post-LDE efficacy by summarizing the findings from published SRs using data from different countries. And it will answer the question of whether driver training contributes to road safety.

Methods

Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria

The methodology of this study is based on the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) checklist. We searched online databases, including the Web of Science (WOS), Scopus, PubMed, Cochrane library, and other relevant databases. Searches were performed up to the 21st of February 2020. This study is a systematic review of systematic reviews (SRs). In order to identify SRs that assessed the impact of driver education on crashes, injuries, or secondary outcomes, the following search terms were used: (driver* OR driving) AND (driver training* OR pre-driver training* OR learning to drive training* OR post-licensure training* OR driver improvement * OR novice drivers) AND (young* OR youth* OR teen* OR adolescent* OR novice* OR older* OR student) AND (systematic review* OR metaanalysis*). All identified studies were included in the software StArt (State of the Art through Systematic Review).¹⁵ This software used different combinations of the terms "systematic" and "review" in the title or abstract to identify the other studies' systematic reviews. These studies were also screened separately by two other individuals in addition to the software.

SR studies were included that met the following criteria: studies were systematic reviews with or without a meta-analysis that covered the effect of driver education [included pre-license driver education (professional driving instruction, school-based driver education, and simulator training) and post-license driver education (novice drivers education, remedial driver education, advanced driver education, and driver improvement)] on road traffic outcomes [(crash and injury) and secondary outcomes (driving performance or driving awareness or driving behavior and knowledge)].

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Two investigators independently conducted the data extraction and quality assessment of all SRs include in this study. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus between the two or by discussions with a third researcher (K-BL).

The following data were extracted from all SRs included: first author's name, publication year, study country, number of included primary studies, type of included primary studies, the stage of the driver, education type, age group, whether they studied crashes, injuries or secondary outcomes, and their main findings. The assessment of multiple systematic reviews (AMSTAR) tool was used to assess all systematic reviews' methodological quality.¹⁶ This checklist assessed the quality of the SRs according to the following items: 'a priori' design provided; duplicate study selection/data extraction; comprehensive literature search; status of publication (i.e., grey or unpublished literature); list of studies included/excluded provided; characteristics of included studies documented; scientific quality assessed and documented; appropriate formulation of conclusions (based on methodological rigor and scientific quality of the studies); appropriate methods of combining studies (homogeneity test, effect model used, and sensitivity analysis); assessment of publication bias (graphic and/or statistical test); and conflict of interest statement.

Result

Characteristics of Included Studies

Our search strategy identified 229 articles, of which 49 were duplicates and were therefore removed. After title and abstract screening, 43 records were found to be eligible for full-text assessment (Appendix 1), but only seven were found to meet all of these overview criteria. The flowchart of the study identification and selection process is presented in Figure 1.

Five of the included studies were systematic reviews,^{7-9,14,17} with two of them also including a metaanalysis.^{6,10} There were 83 primary driver education interventions included in this current overview, five of which were conducted on post-license education^{8-10,14,17} and two on pre-license education.^{6,7} The publication years of the SRs included ranged from 1999 to 2019. Table 1 presents the detailed characteristics of the included SRs.

Pre-license Driver Education (Pre-LDE)

Pre-LDEs are defined as any educational curriculum designed to encourage the development of drivingrelated behaviors and beliefs that are specifically targeted at those who have not yet received their provisional driver's license.⁵ Pre-LDE includes many different formats, including professional driving instruction, school-based driver education, and simulator training.⁵





Table	1: Summar	of driv	ver educatio	on evaluation	result.
		,	ci caecaii		1000

Reference	Countries	Study design	Number of Stud- ies in- cluded	Type of studies	Driver stag- es	Type of education	Age group	Outcomes	Summary of findings
Kua et al. (2007) ⁹	Canada	Systematic review	8	Six RCTs, one pre- post-study design, and one descriptive study	Post-license	Educational curriculum (retraining)	aged 55 and older	Awareness, driving be- havior, crashes	Training interventions in older drivers im- prove mod- erately driv- ing aware- ness and driving be- havior, but do not re- duce crashes.
Vernick et al. (1999) ¹⁷	USA	Systematic review	9	Two random- ized con- trolled tri- als,9,10 two re- analyses of the data from one of the con- trolled trials,11,12 three eco- logic time- series de- signs,13–15 and two ecologic analyses of group dif- ferences	Post-license	Education curriculum	High School (young drivers)	Motor vehi- cle crash	there is no convincing evidence among young drivers that the driver education of high school reduces motor vehicle accident involvement rates (either at the com- munity or individual level)
Ker et al. (2005) ¹⁰	UK	Systematic review and meta- analysis	21	RCT	Driver im- provement /Post-license	Remedial driver education / Advanced driver education	All ages	Re-offences , crashes, injury	No evidence of that post- licence driver training is effective in reducing road crashes (relative risk (RR): 0.98, 95%Cl: 0.96-1.01) or injury crashes (RR: 1.12, 95%Cl: 0.88-1.41); however the results showed a small reduc- tion in the traffic of- fences (RR: 0.96, 95%Cl: 0.94-0.98).
Roberts et al. (2008) ⁶	UK	Systematic review and meta- analysis	3	RCT	Pre-license	School based driver education	Aged 17 to 21 years	Crashes	No evidence of that the training of drivers re- duce road crashes (Risk Ratio: 1.03 95%CI: 0.98-1.08).

Reference	Countries	Study design	Number of Stud- ies in- cluded	Type of studies	Driver stag- es	Type of education	Age group	Outcomes	Summary of findings
Korner- Bitensky et al. (2009) ⁸	Canada	Systematic review	4	Three RCTs and one matched- pairs cohort design	Post - license	Education modules, educational curriculum	Aged 55 and older	Knowledge, driving per- formance, crashes	Combined education with on-road training strongly improves the driving per- formance and moder- ately in- creases the driving knowledge. Physical restraining moderately improves the performance of drivers. There is moderate evidence that a single training intervention curriculum is not efficacy in decreasing crashes
Sangrar et al. (2019) ¹⁴	Canada	Systematic review	33	Twenty-five RCTs and eight non- RCTs	Post - license	Classroom- based education, or a combi- nation of classroom- based education with on- road feed- back	Aged 55 and older	Knowledge, crashes	Increased drivers' knowledge about road safety. And im- proved the self- perceived and behind- the-wheel outcomes such as crashes.
Martín- delosReyes et al (2019) ⁷	Spain	Systematic review	5	Two experi- mental stud- ies with random assignment, one quasi- experiment, and two cohort stud- ies	Pre – license (learner drivers)	Driving Simulator- based training	Young novice or learner drivers	Safety	No evidence to support or refute the program education efficacy using simula- tors among young peo- ple in devel- oping the driving be- havior and their safety.

Table Cont. 1: Summary of driver education evaluation result.

A systematic review of three randomized controlled trials (RCTs), conducted by Roberts and Kwan,⁶ found that road safety education in schools contributes to earlier licensing of teenage attendees. Furthermore, they also suggested that these interventions may potentially lead to a significant rise in the proportion of crashes among adolescents who had been through this course, via earlier licensing.

A systematic review conducted by MartíndelosReyes et al.⁷ investigated whether the use of driver simulator training in young learner drivers or inexperienced drivers leads to a reduction in road crash or injury and/or traffic offenses, or to the development of safer driving skills when compared with non-simulatorbased training. The results of this systematic review did not support the efficacy of simulator-based training programs for improving the safety of driving styles among young learner or novice drivers.

Post-license Driver Education (Post-LDE)

Post-LDEs are focused on inexperienced drivers (mostly young) with the aim of reducing their greater risk of being crash involved.⁵ Although pre-licensing driver training attempts to incorporate cognitive and procedural skills training within a single curriculum, post-licensing driver training programs attempt to address either procedural or cognitive skills.⁵

Vernick et al.¹⁷ performed a systematic review of Post-LDEs to investigate whether high school-age individuals participating in driver education had fewer motor vehicles accidents or violations, or were much more likely to obtain a driver's license, compared to those not participating in these programs. They also investigated whether the presence of high school driver training programs was linked to lower rates of motor vehicle crashes among inexperienced drivers. The results of this review of nine studies found that there was no compelling evidence to suggest that high school driver education decreased the number of motor vehicle accidents among teenage drivers, either at the individual or community level. In contrast, there was evidence that these programs were linked with higher rates of involvement in young drivers' crash or injury, as they led to early licensure.

Several systematic reviews among older drivers have indicated that driver training has little or no direct impact on road safety, in terms of crash or injury risk reduction.^{8,9,14} However, there is evidence that these programs can have a beneficial effect on several secondary outcomes (e.g. enhancing driving performance, or driving actions and knowledge or driving awareness).^{8,9,14} In another systematic review of post-license driver education,¹⁰ which primarily looking at remedial educational programs, the researchers again concluded that there was no clear evidence that these programs reduce crash or injury, and only very poor evidence that they minimize re-offending.

Quality Assessment

The final scoring of the methodological quality of each SR is presented in Table 2. Based on the AMSTAR methodology, the overall score for six SRs was assessed to be high and one was found to be of medium quality.

Table 2: Methodological quality assessment of the included systematic reviews.

Authors	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Scoring
Kua et al. (2007) ⁹	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	High(8)
Vernick et al. (1999) ¹⁷	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Medium (5)
Ker et al. (2005) ¹⁰	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	High(9)
Roberts et al. (2008) ⁶	Yes	High (11)										
Korner-Bitensky et al. (2009) ⁸	Yes	No	No	High (9)								
Sangrar et al. (2019) ¹⁴	Yes	High (11)										
Martín-delosReyes et al (2019) ⁷	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	High(8)

All 11-items were scored as "Yes", "No", "Can't Answer" or "Not Applicable". AMSTAR comprises the following items:

1. 'a priori' design provided;

2. Duplicate study selection/data extraction;

3. Comprehensive literature search;

- 4. Status of publication as inclusion criteria (i.e., grey or unpublished literature);
- 5. List of studies included/excluded provided;

6. Characteristics of included studies documented;

7. Scientific quality assessed and documented;

8. Appropriate formulation of conclusions (based on methodological rigor and scientific quality of the studies);

9. Appropriate methods of combining studies (homogeneity test, effect model used and sensitivity analysis);

10. Assessment of publication bias (graphic and/or statistical test); and

11. Conflict of interest statement.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this current systematic review of systematic reviews is the first study to investigate the efficacy of pre-LDE and post-LDE aimed at improving the safety of drivers. Based on 7 SRs (5 SRs and 2 Meta). The present study found that pre- and post-license driver training improved driving performance, self-perceived driving ability, and may also result in a small decrease in traffic offenses. However, these educational interventions were not effective in reducing crashes and injuries, either at the individual or community level.

Driver education is a popular approach for improving road safety,⁴⁴ but our study indicates that driver education has not been effective in achieving its main outcome, which is reducing crashes, injuries, and deaths. This negative finding may be due to the use of ineffective teaching methods, ineffective course content, failure to understand the needs of adult learners, or not targeting the correct risky driving behaviors.

Educational interventions may not always have the intended effect on all individuals, which needs to be taken into consideration. It has been found that raising awareness of risk may reduce the subject's attractiveness for some learners, while for others it may be more attractive and more likely to lead to action.¹⁸ Furthermore, the systematic review by Roberts *et al.*⁶ reported that school-based driver education interventions can even lead to more harm, by reducing the age at which they obtain a license and thus leading to an increase in the proportion of teenagers involved in traffic crashes.

Some researchers have argued that road safety education interventions frequently focus on vehicle control skills, which are not often the most important issue when it comes to crash involvement.^{18,19} Perhaps road safety educations focuses too strongly on a small number of driving behaviors, such as speed and neglect other important behavioral aspects, such as smoking, alcohol, and drug use. In such a case, training recipients, even if they show improvements in their attitudes and driving speed, the negative impact of other behaviors, such as alcohol consumption will continue to increase the number of crashes and their related injuries.¹⁸ In fact, some educational interventions satisfy a number of goals but do not necessarily target all of the important causes, which reduces the efficiency of such interventions.¹⁹ Furthermore, most educational interventions only provide general information about safety, without providing drivers a clear understanding of their own behavior as a road user. Many education interventions focus on improving knowledge and attitudes, rather than an individual's

actual behavior, which reduces these interventions' efficiency.¹⁹ In other words, drivers may have an awareness of the risks and the appropriate knowledge, but still engage in unsafe behavior even after education program.¹⁸

The ineffectiveness of education programs may be caused an implementation without appropriate scientific evidence or being based upon educational theory. Some researchers believe that designing an educational intervention without regard to guiding theory is like prescribing a medical drug to a patient regardless of the patient's physiology.^{18,20} Nasvadi and Vavrik suggested that before making decisions regarding driving education, it is important to carefully assess the potential benefits and contents in order to optimize the efficacy of the interventions.²¹ Furthermore, another problem with driver education-based interventions is that designers do not include appropriate behavior change techniques.²²⁻²³

In the case of safe traffic behavior, it has been argued that the resources allocated to educational programs may fail to improve traffic safety since traffic collisions and their related injuries do not decrease and drain already stretched budgets and hinder the development of more creative and innovative solutions.²⁴ The ineffectiveness of training and education programs have also been reported in other areas, prompting the British Medical Association to conclude that many educational interventions are politically attractive, but have been found to be ineffective.²⁵

The implementation method of educational programs can also influence its effectiveness. For example, it has been shown that educational activities are often implemented in short time periods.²⁶ Short-term education includes the length of the session, the number of sessions, and the interval between sessions. The use of short-term interventions may reduce the long-term effectiveness of education or training for many individuals.¹⁸ Continuous driver education should be conducted in an evidence-based holistic framework, using road safety interventions such as the enforcement of traffic safety and risk reduction by decreasing and controlling early exposure.²⁷⁻²⁸

Our conclusion should be interpreted with caution on the effects of education on road safety, because first we should be ensured that the evaluation of interventions across primarily included studies has conducted with a scientific method, appropriately.¹⁷ For example, when the driver education cannot reduce the crash or death rate, this failure may be manifested in the inability of young drivers' function, inexperience, or interaction of other characteristics, instead of reflecting inability education interventions.²¹ Other study by Zhang et al.,²⁹ reported that driving educational interventions were effective only among careful drivers. And also, the period between getting safe behavior and reducing road crash and injury in the community is a very long and time-consuming phenomenon. So measuring the rate of road traffic injuries and education's effect seems not to be a rational manner. Instead, the effect of education interventions should be measured on safe traffic related behaviors. On the other hand, when educational interventions accompanied by other important factors such as road safety; vehicle quality and safety; and even signalization, policy, legislation, law enforcement, etc, it could improve safe behavior.¹⁴

In brief, our findings are important to consider for stakeholders and policymakers. Driving education was not found to be an effective approach for improving the main outcomes, which are crashed and injuries. The reasons for this need to be considered in future research.

This study examines the efficacy of pre-LDE and post-LDE interventions to improve the safety of drivers (includes crashes, injuries, or secondary outcomes). A review of systematic review studies can give us a complete result. One of the strengths of this study was the qualitative review of the studies, most of which were of high quality. Also, a review of several large databases demonstrates the comprehensiveness of this study. However, the limitations of the study should not be overlooked. Among other things, numeric data was not possible to perform meta-analysis and comment in numerical data. Also, there may be overlap between the initial studies included in the systematics understudy and have achieved similar results in all respects.

Conclusion

There is no evidence the pre-LDE or post-LDE driver education leads to a reduction in crashes or injuries. This negative finding may be due to the use of ineffective teaching methods, the absence of effective techniques for changing attitudes or future behaviors, failure to understand the needs of adult learners, or not targeting the correct risky behaviors.

Acknowledgments

This study was undertaken as part of a Ph.D. thesis and was supported by a grant awarded from the Deputy of Research and Technology at the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Grant No.1396-01-104-16210).

Funding: There was no funding. Competing interests: None declared. Ethical approval: Not required.

References

- 1. International Transport Forum. Road Safety Annual Report 2019. https://www.itf-oecd.org/sites/default/files/docs/irtad-road-safety-annual-report-2019.pdf, accessed 7 October 2019.
- 2. Hughes BP. A Comprehensive framework for future road safety strategies. PhD thesis, Curtin University, Perth, Australia, 2017.
- 3. Federal Highway Administration. Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) guidance. Washington (DC): The Administration 2016, www.safety.fhwa.dot.gov/legislationandpolicy/fast/shsp_guidance.cfm, accessed 13 June 2018.
- Martens T, Vande Weygaerde Y, Vermassen J, Malfait T. Acute Type A Aortic Dissection Complicated by COVID-19 Infection. Ann Thorac Surg. 2020;110(5): E421-E3.
- 5. Beanland V, Goode N, Salmon PM, G. LENNÉ MG. Is there a case for driver training? a review of the efficacy of pre- and post-licence driver training. Safety Science. 2013; 51: 127-37.
- 6. Ian R, Irene K. Cochrane Injuries Group Driver Education Reviewers. School based driver education for the prevention of traffic crashes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;2001(3):CD003201.
- 7. Martín-delosReyes LM, Jiménez-Mejías E, Martínez-Ruiz V, Moreno-Roldán E, Molina-Soberanes D, Lardelli-Claret P. Efficacy of training with driving simulators in improving safety in young novice or learner drivers: A systematic review. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour. 2019; 62: 58-65.
- 8. Korner-BitenskY N, KUA A, Van Benthem K. Older driver retraining: an updated systematic review of evidence of effectiveness. J Safety Res. 2009;40(2):105-11.
- 9. Kua A, Korner-bitensky N, Desrosiers J, Man-Son-Hing M, Marshall S. Older driver retraining: a systematic review of evidence of effectiveness. J Safety Res. 2007;38(1):81-90.
- 10. Ker K, Roberts I, Collier T, Beyer F, Bunn F, Frost C. Post-licence driver education for the prevention of road traffic crashes: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Accid Anal Prev. 2005 Mar;37(2):305-13.

77

- Magid E. Evidence-Based Medicine. How to Practice and Teach EBM, 2nd ed. David L. Sackett, Sharon E. Straus, W. Scott Richardson, William Rosenberg, and R. Brian Haynes. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 2000, 261 pp. (plus one compact disc), \$34.95. ISBN 0-443-06240-4. Clinical Chemistry. 2001;47(9):1747.
- 12. Ball K, Edwards JD, Ross LA, McGwin J Gerald. Cognitive training decreases motor vehicle collision involvement of older drivers. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010 Nov;58(11):2107-13..
- 13. Vanlaar W, Hing MM, Robertson R, Mayhew D, Carr D. An evaluation of Ontario's Group Education Session (GES) for license renewal of seniors aged 80 and above. J Safety Res. 2016 Feb;56:33-9.
- 14. Sangrar R, Mun J, Cammarata M, Griffith LE, LETTS L, Vrkljan B. Older driver training programs: a systematic review of evidence aimed at improving behind-the-wheel performance. J Safety Res. 2019 Dec;71:295-313.
- 15. Silva C, Zamboni A, Hernandes E, Di Thomazzo A, Belgamo A, Fabbri S, LAPES. StArt (state of the art through systematic review tool), 2014, http://lapes.dc.ufscar.br/tools/start_tool, accessed 10 January 2018.
- 16. Shea BJ, Grimshaw JM, Wells GA, Boers M, Andersson N, Hamel C, PORTER AC, Tugwell P, Moher D, Bouter LM. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2007 Feb 15;7:10.
- 17. Vernick JS, Li G, Ogaitis S, Mackenzie EJ, Baker SP, Gielen AC. Effects of high school driver education on motor vehicle crashes, violations, and licensure. Am J Prev Med. 1999 Jan;16(1 Suppl):40-6.
- 18. Dragutinovic N, Twisk D. The effectiveness of road safety education: a literature review. SWOV Institute for Road Safety Research. 2006; 1-74.
- 19-McKenna F. Education in road safety-are we getting it right?. RAC Foundation. 2010, <u>https://www.racfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/education-in-road-safety-mckenna-080910-report.pdf</u>, accessed 7 October 2019.
- 20. Lopez LM, Tolley EE, Grimes DA, Chen-Mok M. Theory-based interventions for contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009 Jan 21;(1):CD007249.
- 21. Nasvadi GE, Vavrik J. Crash risk of older drivers after attending a mature driver education program. Accident Analysis & Prevention. 2007; 39(6): 1073-9.
- 22. Fylan F, Stradling S. Behavioural Change Techniques used in road safety interventions for young people. Revue Européenne de Psychologie Appliquée/European Review of Applied Psychology. 2014; 64:123-9.
- 23. Sullman M. Young Driver Safety: A review of behaviour change techniques for future interventions: RAC Foundation. 2017, https://www.racfoundation.org/assets/rac_foundation/content/downloadables/Young_driver_safety_a_review_of_behaviour_change_techniques_for_future_interventions_MSullman_March_2017.pdf, accessed 7 October 2019.
- 24. Christie R. The effectiveness of driver training as a road safety measure: A review of the literature. National road safety. 2001, <u>https://cdn-s3-nrspp-2020.s3.ap-southeast-1.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/4/2017/07/20094955/The-Effectiveness-Of-Driver-Training-As-A-Road-Safety-Measure-A-Review-Of-The-Literature.pdf</u>, accessed 27 October 2019.
- 25. BMA Science and Education department and the Board of Science. Alcohol misuse: tackling the UK epidemic. London: British Medical Association. 2008, https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/11945/1/BMA Alcohol misuse_tan41-147192.pdf, accessed 7 October 2019.
- 26. Williams AF. Young driver risk factors: successful and unsuccessful approaches for dealing with them and an agenda for the future. Inj Prev. 2006 Jun; 12(Suppl 1): i4–i8.
- 27. Chandran A, Pérez-núñez R, Bachani AM, Híjar M, Salinas-Rodríguez A, Hyder AA. Early impact of a national multi-faceted road safety intervention program in Mexico: results of a time-series analysis. PLoS One. 2014 Jan 31;9(1):e87482.
- 28. Hung KV, Huyen LT. Education influence in traffic safety: a case study in Vietnam. IATSS Research. 2011;34(2):87-93.
- 29. Zhang T, Hajiseyedjavadi F, Wang Y, Samuel S, Qu X, Fisher D. Training interventions are only effective on careful drivers, not careless drivers. Transportation Rresearch Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour. 2018; 58: 693-707.
- 30. Wilson NJ, Lee HC, Vaz S, Vindin P, Cordier RJBn. Scoping review of the driving behaviour of and driver training programs for people on the autism spectrum. 2018.
- 31. Beanland V, Goode N, Salmon PM, Lenne MG. The efficacy of advanced driver training: A targeted literature review. National road safety. 2011,https://cdn-s3-nrspp-2020.s3.ap-southeast-1.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/4/2017/07/20095432/The-Efficacy-Of-Advanced-Driver-Training-A-Targeted-Literature-Review.pdf, accessed 7 October 2019.
- 32. Peck RC. Do driver training programs reduce crashes and traffic violations?—A critical examination of the literature. IATSS Res. 2011;34(2):63-71.
- 33. Washington S, Cole RJ, Herbel S. European advanced driver training programs: Reasons for optimism. IATSS Res. 2011;34(2):72-9.
- 34. Fuller R, Bonney D. Driver education and training in post-primary schools. National Council for Curriculum and Assessment; 2004.
- 35. Deighton C. Good practice in pre-driver Education. Road safety congress. 2007; 1-14.
- 36. Al-Subhi SS. The effectiveness of driver education programs in reducing traffic accidents in Saudi Arabia. J King Saudi Univ. 1993;5:3-18.
- 37. Bates L, Larue GS, Filtness AJ, Hawkins A. Simulators, driver education and disadvantaged groups: A scoping review. J Australas Coll Road Saf. 2019; 30(4), 26-40.

- 38. Anker GE. A comparison of driver education effectiveness: summer programs versus semester-long programs. MSc thesis; 1979. Retrospective Theses and Dissertations. 14458.
- 39. Henderson M. Education, publicity and training in road safety: A literature review. Monash University Accident Research Centre. 1991; Report No: 22.
- 40. Christie R. Road safety education and training from a public health perspective. Proceedings of the Australasian road safety research, policing and education conference. 2002, Monash University. https://www.worldcat.org/title/2002-road-safety-research-policing-and-education-conference-4-5-november-2002-adelaide-convention-centre/oclc/155842801, accessed 7 October 2019.
- 41. Mayhew DR, Simpson HM, Williams AF, Ferguson SA. Effectiveness and role of driver education and training in a graduated licensing system. J Public Health Policy. 1998;19(1):51-67.
- 42. Michael S. What is the effect of driver education programs on traffic crash and violation rates? Arizona Department of Transportation. 2004, https://apps.azdot.gov/files/ADOTLibrary/publications/project_reports/pdf/az546.pdf, accessed 7 October 2019.
- 43. Haworth N, Kowadlo N, Tingvall C. Evaluation of pre-driver education program. Monash University Accident Research Centre. 2000(167):76.
- 44. Mayhew DR, Simpson HM. The safety value of driver education an training. Inj Prev. 2002 Sep;8 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):ii3-7; discussion ii7-8.
- 45. Lewis-Evans B. An examination of what the currently available data can tell us about the effects on offence and crash history of two driver education programs. Proceedings of the Australasian road safety research, policing and education conference; 2006: Monash University.
- 46. Slavinskienė J, Markšaitytė R, Endriulaitienė A, Šeibokaitė L. The effectiveness of road hazard perception training: literature review. Transport means-2019: proceedings of the 23rd international scientific conference, October 2-4, 2019, Palanga, Lithuania Part 2: proceedings Kaunas: Kaunas University of Technology. 2019; 23 (2): 879-883.
- 47. Graitcer PL, Kellermann AL, Christoffel T. A review of educational and legislative strategies to promote bicycle helmets. Inj Prev. 1995 Jun;1(2):122-9.
- 48. Lonero L, Mayhew D, Washington, DC. Large-scale evaluation of driver education review of the literature on driver education evaluation 2010 update. Traffic Injury Research Foundation; 2010. Available from: <a href="https://www.anstse.info/Resources%20PDF's/Document%20reloads/8%20-%20AAA%20Large%20Scale%20Evaluation%20ef%20Driver%20Education%20Review%20of%20the%20Literature%20on%20Driver%20Education%20Review%20Neducation%20Review%20Neducation%20Review%20Neducation%20Review%20Neducation%20Review%20Neducation%20N
- 49. Lennon A, Bates L, Rowden P, Haworth N, Williamson A, Kiata-Holland E, Murray C. Review of the ACT road ready and road ready plus novice driver road safety education course material. Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety - Queensland, Australia; 2014.
- 50. Lonero PL. Trends in driver education and training. Am J Prev Med. 2008 Sep;35(3 Suppl):S316-23.
- 51. Engström I, Gregersen NP, Hernetkoski K, Keskinen E, Nyberg A. Young novice drivers, driver education and training: Literature review. Transport Research International Documentation; 2003.
- 52. Christie R. The effectiveness of driver training as a road safety measure: An international review of the literature. Road Safety Research, Policing and Education Conference, 2001, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; 2001.
- 53. Christie R. The Effectiveness of Driver Training/Education as a Road Safety Measure: 2016 Edition/Update. Royal Automobile Club of Victoria (RACV) Ltd.
- 54. Bates LJ, Allen S, Armstrong K, Watson B, King MJ, Davey J. Graduated driver licensing: An international review. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2014 Nov;14(4):e432-41.
- 55. Jawi ZM, Deros BM, Rashid AA, Isa MH, Awang A. The Roles and Performance of Professional Driving Instructors in Novice Driver Education. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2017 Aug;17(3):e277-e285.
- 56. Winters M, Weddell A, Teschke K. Is evidence in practice? Review of driver and cyclist education materials with respect to cycling safety evidence. Transp Res Rec. 2013;2387(1):35-45.
- 57. Duperrex O, Bunn F, Roberts I. Safety education of pedestrians for injury prevention: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials.BMJ. 2002 May 11;324(7346):1129.
- 58. Raftery S, Wundersitz LN. The efficacy of road safety education in schools: A review of current approaches. CASR report series, CASR077; March 2011.
- 59. Cattan M, Green J, Newell C, Ayrton R, Walker J. Road Safety Research Report 101 Child-parent interaction in relation to road safety education: part 1-A critical literature review. Centre for Health Promotion Research, Faculty of Health, Leeds Metropolitan University, Department for Transport: London; 2008.

Appendix 1 Reviews identified for full-text evaluation

Title	Authors	Reason of excluded
Is there a case for driver training? A review of the efficacy of pre- and post-licence driver training	Vanessa Beanland et al. ⁵	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Efficacy of training with driving simulators in improving safety in young novice or learner drivers: A systematic review	Luis Miguel Martín- delosReyes et al. ⁷	No relevant outcome presented
Post-licence driver education for the prevention of road traffic crashes: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials	Katharine Ker & et al. ¹⁰	No relevant outcome presented
The effectiveness of road safety education	Nina Dragutinovic et al.18	No driver education
Education in road safety-are we getting it right?	Frank McKenna et al. ¹⁹	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
The effectiveness of driver training as a road safety measure: A review of the literature	Christie, Ron et al. ²⁴	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Scoping Review of the Driving Behaviour of and Driver Training Programs for People on the Autism Spectrum	Nathan J. Wilson et al. ³⁰	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
The efficacy of advanced driver training: A targeted literature review	Vanessa Beanland et al. ³¹	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Do driver training programs reduce crashes and traffic violations? A critical examination of the literature	Raymond C. Peck et al. ³²	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
European advanced driver training programs: Reasons for optimism	Simon Washington et al. ³³	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Assessment. Driver education and training in post-primary schools	Ray Fuller et al. ³⁴	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Good Practice in Pre-driver education	Carole Deighton et al. ³⁵	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
The Effectiveness of driver Education Programs in Reducing Traffic Accidents in Saudi Arabia	Al-Subhi, Suhail S et al. ³⁶	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Simulators, driver education and disadvantaged groups: A scoping review	Lyndel Bates et al. ³⁷	No relevant outcome presented
A comparison of driver education effectiveness: summer programs versus semester-long programs	Gary E. Anker et al. ³⁸	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Education, publicity and training in road safety: A literature review	Michael Henderson et al. ³⁹	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Road Safety Education and Training from a Public Health Perspec- tive	Ron Christie et al. ⁴⁰	No relevant outcome presented
Effectiveness and Role of Driver Education and Training in a Grad- uated Licensing system	Mayhew, Daniel R et al.41	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
What is the Effect of Driver Education Programs on Traffic Crash and Violation Rates?	Stephen Michael et al. ⁴²	No relevant outcome presented
Evaluation of pre-driver education program	Haworth Narelle et al.43	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)

Appendix 1 (Cont.) Reviews identified for full-text evaluation

Title	Authors	Reason of excluded
The safety value of driver education an training	D R Mayhew et al.44	No relevant outcome presented
An examination of what the currently available data can tell us about the effects on offence and crash history of two driver education programs.	Ben Lewis-Evans et al. ⁴⁵	No relevant outcome presented
The Effectiveness of Road Hazard Perception Training: Literature Review	Justina Slavinskienė et al. ⁴⁶	No driver education
A review of educational and legislative strategies to promote bicycle helmets	Philip L Graitcer et al.47	No driver education
Large-Scale Evaluation of Driver Education Review of the Litera- ture on Driver Education Evaluation 2010 Update	Lawrence Lonero et al.48	No relevant outcome presented
Review of the ACT Road Ready and Road Ready Plus Novice Driver Road Safety Education Course Material	Alexia Lennon et al.49	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Trends in Driver Education and Training	Lawrence P. Lonero et al. ⁵⁰	No relevant outcome presented
Young novice drivers, driver education and training: Literature review	Inger Engström et al. ⁵¹	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
The effectiveness of driver training as a road safety measure	Christie, Ron et al. ⁵²	No relevant outcome presented
The Effectiveness of Driver Training/Education as a Road Safety Measure	Christie, Ron et al. ⁵³	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Graduated driver licensing: An international review	Lyndel J. Bates et al. ⁵⁴	No relevant outcome presented
The Roles and Performance of Professional Driving Instructors in Novice Driver Education	Zulhaidi M. Jawi et al. ⁵⁵	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Is evidence in practice? Review of driver and cyclist education materials with respect to cycling safety evidence	Meghan Winters et al. ⁵⁶	No adherence to the PRISMA check- list(no systematic review)
Safety education of pedestrians for injury prevention: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials	Olivier Duperrex et al. ⁵⁷	No driver education
The efficacy of road safety education in schools: A review of current approaches	SJ Raftery et al. ⁵⁸	No driver education
Child–parent interaction in relation to road safety education: part 1–A critical literature review	Mima Cattan et al. ⁵⁹	No driver education