INSURANCE SPECIAL REPORT Motorcycle Antilock Braking System (ABS)

December 2009 A-81



COPYRIGHTED DOCUMENT, DISTRIBUTION RESTRICTED

©2009 by the Highway Loss Data Institute. All rights reserved. Distribution of this report is restricted. No part of this publication may be reproduced, or stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner. Possession of this publication does not confer the right to print, reprint, publish, copy, sell, file, or use this report in any manner without the written permission of the copyright owner.



COPYRIGHT NOTICE

©2009 by the Highway Loss Data Institute, 1005 N. Glebe Road, Arlington, VA 22201. All rights reserved.

Distribution of this report is restricted. No part of this publication may be reproduced, or stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner. Possession of this publication does not confer the right to print, reprint, publish, copy, sell, file, or use this material in any manner without the written permission of the copyright owner.

Permission is hereby granted to companies that are members of the Highway Loss Data Institute to reprint, copy, or otherwise use this material for their own business purposes, provided that the copyright notice is clearly visible on the material.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

■ S. Lin, Chairman, Chubb & Son ■ B. Reddington, Vice Chairman, Kentucky Farm Bureau Insurance Companies ■ B. Anderson, National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies ■ P. Baum, Nationwide ■ H. Cohen, GEICO Corporation ■ E. Connell, Erie Insurance Group ■ F. Yager, Allstate Insurance Company ■ M. Deede, MetLife Auto & Home ■ M. Doerfler, Progressive Insurance ■ T. Ellefson, American Family Insurance Group ■ J. Feldmeier, Auto Club Group ■ P. Foley, American Insurance Association ■ J. Gillette, American National Property and Casualty ■ D. Griffin, Property Casualty Insurers Association of America ■ S. Hallworth, The Travelers Companies ■ S. Lough, Rockingham Group ■ S. McAnena, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company ■ S. Murphy, GMAC Insurance ■ T. Myers, High Point Safety and Insurance Management Corporation ■ J. Nutting, Farmers Insurance Group of Companies ■ S. Oakley, The Hartford ■ D. Porfilio, Kemper, A Unitrin Business ■ L. Stiles, State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company ■ J. Xu, AAA of Northern California, Nevada and Utah ■ A. Lund, Highway Loss Data Institute

The membership of the Highway Loss Data Institute Board of Directors represents insurance companies that supply data to HLDI. Financial support for HLDI is provided through the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, which in turn is supported by automobile insurers.

CONTENTS

Introduction
Methods
Results
Table 1 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis
Table 2 Detailed Results of Linear Regression Analysis
Table 3 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis
Table 4 Detailed Results of Linear Regression Analysis7of Collision Claim Severities
Table 5 Results for Collision Overall Losses Derived9from Claim Frequency and Severity Models
Table 6 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis 11 of Medical Payment Claim Frequencies
Table 7 Detailed Results of Linear Regression Analysis 11 of Medical Payment Claim Frequencies
Table 8 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis12of Medical Payment Claim Severities
Table 9 Detailed Results of Linear Regression Analysis12of Medical Payment Claim Severities
Table 10 Results for Medical Payment Overall Losses Derived from
Table 11 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis15of Bodily Injury Liability Claim Frequencies
Table 12 Detailed Results of Linear Regression Analysis15of Bodily Injury Liability Claim Frequencies
Table 13 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis
Table 14 Detailed Results of Linear Regression Analysis16of Bodily Injury Liability Claim Severities
Table 15 Results for Bodily Injury Liability Overall Losses Derived
Appendix A Distribution of Exposure for Independent Variables,
Appendix B Distribution of Exposure for Independent Variable,
Appendix C Distribution of Exposure for Independent Variable,

INTRODUCTION

According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA, 2008) motorcycle registrations increased by 75 percent during 1997-2006. Analysis by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety of data from the Fatality Analysis Reporting System shows that, during the same time period, fatalities in motorcycle crashes increased by 128 percent. Unlike automobiles, motorcycles offer little if any occupant protection. Only 20 percent of automobile crashes result in injury or death, whereas 80 percent of motorcycle crashes have this outcome (NHTSA, 2005). Therefore any countermeasure aimed at reducing the likelihood of motorcycle crashes should significantly reduce the risk of injury or death.

One technology designed to reduce the likelihood of motorcycle crashes is antilock braking systems (ABS). While in motion, motorcycles are kept stable by the gyroscopic effect of the wheels and lateral grip of the tires. If a wheel is braked too hard, so that it locks, both lateral grip and gyroscopic effect are lost. When this occurs, the motorcycle is immediately destabilized, and any remaining tire grip is engaged in uncontrolled skidding, leaving no grip for maneuvering. ABS has independent braking sensors for each wheel. If the system detects a difference in the rotation speeds of the wheels, it partially releases brake pressure to allow the locked wheel to spin and the tire to retain grip before reapplying the brake. ABS then modulates braking pressure to achieve optimum braking.

The Highway Loss Data Institute (HLDI) initially reported on motorcycle ABS in April 2008, in which the model years of the motorcycles studied ranged from 2003 to 2007. Significant reductions in collision claim frequencies and overall losses were found for motorcycles equipped with ABS. No significant reductions were found for claim severities. This report updates and expands the initial analysis by adding the 2008 model year, increasing the number of make/series from 12 to 18, and doubling the collision exposure. This study also includes an analysis of medical payment coverage, which typically pays for operator injuries, and bodily injury liability coverage, which typically pays for passenger injuries.

Methods

COVERAGES

Motorcycle insurance covers damage to vehicles and property as well as injuries to people involved in crashes. Different insurance coverages pay for physical damage versus injuries. Also, different coverages may apply depending on who is at fault. In the present study, three different insurance coverage types were examined: collision, bodily injury liability, and medical payment. Collision insures against physical damage to a motorcycle sustained in a crash when the driver is at fault. Medical payment covers injuries sustained by motorcycle operators, whereas bodily injury liability typically insures against injuries to motorcycle passengers.

RATED DRIVERS (RIDERS)

For insurance purposes, a rated driver is assigned to each motorcycle on a policy. The rated driver is the one who typically is considered to represent the greatest loss potential for the insured vehicle. In a multiple-vehicle/driver household, the driver assigned to a vehicle can vary by insurance company and state. Information on the actual driver at the time of a loss is not available in the HLDI database. HLDI collects a limited number of factors about rated drivers. For the present study, data were stratified by rated driver age group (<25, 25-39, 40-64, 65+, or unknown) and gender (male, female, or unknown).

SUBJECT MOTORCYCLES

For motorcycles to be included in the present study, their vehicle identification numbers (VINs) had to have an ABS indicator. This allowed for very tight control over the study population. Twenty motorcycles met this criterion, but two of them did not have claims and therefore were excluded. There were motorcycles available with ABS that were not included because their VINs did not have an ABS indicator.

All of the Honda motorcycles (both ABS and non-ABS) were equipped with combined braking systems (CBS). CBS applies braking force to both wheels when either the rear or front brake control is engaged. Even with CBS, wheel lock still is possible. With or without ABS, CBS may affect collision losses. Due to the small sample of non-CBS motorcycles in the study, the effect of CBS could not be evaluated. This is not expected to bias the results because the motorcycles in the study differed only by whether or not they were equipped with ABS. Each ABS/non-ABS pair either did or did not have CBS. ABS showed a benefit in both the CBS and non-CBS groups, suggesting the presence of CBS on some of the motorcycles did not confound the observed effect of ABS.

ANALYSIS METHODS

Data were collected by vehicle make and series, rated driver age and gender, and vehicle age and density. Vehicle density was defined as the number of registered vehicles (<100, 100-499, and 500+) per square mile. Vehicle age was defined as the difference between the calendar year and model year, measured in years.

As previously mentioned, rated driver age group and gender were included in the analysis. The dataset also was stratified by make/series and vehicle density (<100, 100-499, and 500+ vehicles per square mile). For example, a 1-year-old Honda Gold Wing, equipped with ABS, with a 40-64 year-old male as the rated driver, and garaged in an area with a vehicle density of 100-499 vehicles per square mile constituted one unit of observation. The distributions of motorcycle exposure by coverage type for the six independent variables are listed in the Appendices. Rated driver factors and vehicle density were included to control for their potential impact on losses and not to produce estimates for these variables. The estimated parameters for these variables may not generalize from this subset to the much larger motorcycle population. Regression analysis was used to quantify the effect of ABS on motorcycle losses while controlling for other covariates. Claim frequency was modeled using a Poisson distribution, whereas claim severity was modeled using a Gamma distribution. Both models used a logarithmic link function. Estimates for overall losses were derived from the claim frequency and claim severity models. Reference categories for the categorical independent variables were assigned to the values with the highest exposure. The reference categories were as follows: make/series = Honda Gold Wing, ABS = without ABS, rated driver age range = 40-64, vehicle density = 100-499 vehicles per square mile, and rated driver gender = male. Losses for each unit of observation were weighted by the exposure in the linear regression. The key independent variable in the model, ABS, was treated as categorical. Models were constructed that examined the interaction of the rated driver factors and vehicle density with the presence or absence of ABS. None of these interactions were found to be significant.

RESULTS

COLLISION COVERAGE

Summary results of the regression analysis of motorcycle collision claim frequencies using the Poisson distribution are listed in Table 1. Results for all independent variables in the model, including ABS, had p-values less than 0.05, indicating their effects on claim frequencies were statistically significant. Detailed results of the regression analysis using claim frequency as the dependent variable are listed in Table 2. The table shows estimates and significance levels for the individual values of the categorical variables. To make results more illustrative, a column was added that contains the exponents of the estimates. The exponent of the intercept equals 0.0000687 claims per day, or 2.5 claims per 100 insured vehicle years. The intercept outlines losses for the reference (baseline) categories: the estimate corresponds to the claim frequency for a Honda Gold Wing without ABS, with vehicle age 0, garaged in a medium vehicle density area, and driven by a male age 40-64. The remaining estimates are in the form of multiples, or ratios relative to the reference categories. For example, the estimate corresponding to female gender equals 0.87, so female rated drivers had estimated claim frequencies 13 percent lower than those for male rated drivers.

The estimate corresponding to motorcycle ABS (-0.25) was highly significant (p<0.0001). The estimate corresponded to a 22 percent reduction in claim frequencies for motorcycles equipped with ABS. Individual make/series motorcycles were included in the model, and estimates of their effect on collision claim frequencies were reported in Table 2. As previously mentioned, the reference category for the make/series variable was the Honda Gold Wing. Significant predictions for make/series ranged from 1.37 for the Triumph Tiger to 5.4 for the Honda CBR1000RR. All make/series estimates were significant at the p=0.05 level except for the Aprilia Caponord and Suzuki V-Strom 650. Vehicle age significantly affected collision claim frequency. Claim frequencies were estimated to decrease 19 percent (p<0.0001) for each 1-year increase in vehicle age.

Driver age was highly significant in predicting motorcycle collision claim frequency. Compared with losses for rated drivers ages 40-64 (reference category), estimated claim frequencies were 145 percent higher (p<0.0001) for rated drivers 24 and younger, 23 percent higher (p<0.0001) for rated drivers ages 25-39 and 18 percent higher (p=0.003) for rated drivers 65 and older. Rated driver gender also significantly predicted collision claim frequencies. Compared with losses for male rated riders (reference category), estimated claim frequencies were 8 percent lower (p=0.02) for drivers with unknown gender and 13 percent lower, nearly significant (p=0.06), for female rated drivers.

Motorcycle collision claim frequencies increased with vehicle density. Compared with losses in medium vehicle density areas (reference category), estimated claim frequencies were 9 percent higher (p=0.04) in high vehicle density areas and 13 percent lower (p=0.002) in low vehicle density areas.

TABLE 1Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysisof Collision Claim Frequencies

DEGREES			
of Freedom	CHI-SQUARE	P-VALUE	
1	31.920	< 0.0001	
17	432.810	< 0.0001	
1	289.610	< 0.0001	
4	87.180	< 0.0001	
2	7.350	0.025	
2	23.230	< 0.0001	
	1 17 1 4 2	FREEDOM CHI-SQUARE 1 31.920 17 432.810 1 289.610 4 87.180 2 7.350	Preedom CHI-SQUARE P-VALUE 1 31.920 <0.0001

TABLE 2 Detailed Results of Linear Regression Analysis of Collision Claim Frequencies							
PARAMETER	Estimate	Exponent Estimate	Standard Error	Chi- Square	P-VALUE		
INTERCEPT	-9.586	6.87E-05	0.046	44,115.80	< 0.0001		
ABS							
ABS Model	-0.246	0.782	0.044	30.8	< 0.0001		
Non-ABS Model	0	1.000	0				
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES							
Aprilia Caponord	0.100	1.105	1.001	0.01	0.920		
Aprilia Scarabeo 500	0.871	2.390	0.270	10.44	0.001		
Harley Davidson V-Rod	0.662	1.938	0.097	46.78	< 0.0001		
Honda CBR1000RR	1.686	5.400	0.502	11.27	0.001		
Honda Gold Wing	0	1.000	0				
Honda Interceptor 800	0.882	2.417	0.078	128.03	< 0.0001		
Honda Reflex	0.570	1.767	0.081	49.27	< 0.0001		
Honda Silver Wing	0.716	2.047	0.076	89.71	< 0.0001		
Honda ST1300	0.241	1.273	0.080	9.16	0.003		
Kawasaki Concours 14	0.941	2.561	0.098	91.47	< 0.0001		
Suzuki Bandit 1250	0.941	2.563	0.136	48.13	< 0.0001		
Suzuki B-King	1.432	4.187	0.222	41.55	< 0.0001		
Suzuki Burgman 650	0.660	1.935	0.067	98.1	< 0.0001		
Suzuki SV650	1.093	2.983	0.084	169.3	< 0.0001		
Suzuki V-Strom 650	0.104	1.110	0.127	0.68	0.411		
Triumph Sprint ST	1.065	2.901	0.104	104.47	< 0.0001		
Triumph Tiger	0.314	1.368	0.152	4.23	0.040		
Yamaha FJR1300	0.449	1.567	0.062	53	< 0.0001		
VEHICLE AGE	-0.214	0.807	0.013	276.61	< 0.0001		
RATED DRIVER AGE							
Unknown	0.362	1.436	0.068	28.04	< 0.0001		
14-24	0.897	2.452	0.108	69.66	< 0.0001		
25-39	0.209	1.232	0.050	17.24	< 0.0001		
40-64	0	1.000	0				
65+	0.167	1.181	0.057	8.66	0.003		
RATED DRIVER GENDER							
Female	-0.137	0.872	0.074	3.46	0.063		
Male	0	1.000	0				
Unknown	-0.087	0.917	0.038	5.16	0.023		
VEHICLE DENSITY							
0-99	-0.136	0.873	0.043	9.95	0.002		
100-499	0	1.000	0				
500+	0.081	1.085	0.040	4.18	0.041		

Summary results of the regression analysis of motorcycle collision claim severities using the Gamma distribution are listed in Table 3. Of the six variables included in the analysis, only vehicle make/series and vehicle age had p-values less than 0.05. Neither the rated driver nor the driving environment significantly affected the claim size.

Detailed results of the regression analysis using motorcycle collision claim severity as the dependent variable are listed in Table 4. The structure of the table, as well as the variables and reference categories, are the same as those used for claim frequency in Table 2. The variables and reference categories that were used for claim frequency were used for claim severity. The exponent of the intercept equals \$8,829. The intercept outlines losses for the reference (baseline) categories: the estimate corresponds to the claim severity for a Honda Gold Wing without ABS, with vehicle age of 0, garaged in a medium vehicle density area, and driven by a male age 40-64.

The estimate corresponding to the ABS effect was a 4 percent increase in claim severity. However, the estimate was not significant (p=0.3), indicating ABS does not affect claim severity. As previously mentioned, vehicle make/series and vehicle age were significant predictors of claim severity. Significant estimates of claim severities for the 18 make/series motorcycles, compared with those for the Honda Gold Wing (reference category), ranged from 23 percent lower for the Honda ST1300 to 74 percent lower for the Honda Reflex. As motorcycles age, their claim severities decrease. The model estimated a 4 percent decrease (p<0.0001) in claim severity per 1-year increase in vehicle age.

TABLE 3 SUMMARY RESULTS OF LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF COLLISION CLAIM SEVERITIES							
	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	CHI-SQUARE	P-VALUE				
ABS	1	1.020	0.312				
Vehicle Make/Series	17	643.930	<0.0001				
Vehicle Age	1	16.070	<0.0001				
Rated Driver Age	4	4.600	0.331				
Rated Driver Gender	2	2.910	0.233				
Vehicle Density	2	5.340	0.069				

TABLE 4 DETAILED RESULTS OF LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF COLLISION CLAIM SEVERITIES **EXPONENT S**TANDARD Сні-PARAMETER **E**STIMATE ESTIMATE ERROR SQUARE P-VALUE INTERCEPT 9.086 8,829.03 0.040 52,266.80 < 0.0001 ABS 0.037 ABS Model 1.038 0.0371 1.02 0.313 Non-ABS Model 0 1.000 0 VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES Aprilia Caponord -0.497 0.608 0.825 0.36 0.547 Aprilia Scarabeo 500 -1.139 0.320 0.223 26.16 < 0.0001 Harley Davidson V-Rod -0.503 0.605 0.083 36.59 < 0.0001 Honda CBR1000RR -0.199 0.479 0.819 0.17 0.677 Honda Gold Wing 0 1.000 0 Honda Interceptor 800 -0.587 0.556 0.0654 80.56 < 0.0001 Honda Reflex -1.355 0.258 0.0673 404.93 < 0.0001 Honda Silver Wing 275.2 -1.054 0.349 0.0635 < 0.0001 Honda ST1300 -0.260 0.771 0.067 15.04 0.0001 Kawasaki Concours 14 -0.406 0.667 0.0833 23.7 < 0.0001 Suzuki Bandit 1250 -0.826 0.438 0.113 53.43 < 0.0001 Suzuki B-King -0.609 0.544 0.1883 10.46 0.001 Suzuki Burgman 650 -0.845 0.429 0.0562 226.35 < 0.0001 Suzuki SV650 -0.793 0.453 0.0714 123.27 < 0.0001 Suzuki V-Strom 650 -0.850 0.427 0.1066 63.67 < 0.0001 Triumph Sprint ST -0.454 0.635 0.0876 26.83 < 0.0001 **Triumph Tiger** -0.491 0.612 0.1261 15.18 < 0.0001 Yamaha FJR1300 -0.477 0.621 0.0513 86.47 < 0.0001 **VEHICLE AGE** -0.042 0.959 0.010 16.25 < 0.0001 **RATED DRIVER AGE** Unknown 0.089 1.094 0.060 2.2 0.139 14-24 0.122 1.130 0.088 1.95 0.163 25-39 0.011 1.011 0.043 0.07 0.794 40-64 0 1.000 0 0.047 65 +1.048 0.047 1 0.317 **RATED DRIVER GENDER** Female 0.091 1.095 0.062 2.17 0.140 Male 1.000 0 0 Unknown -0.014 0.032 0.2 0.656 0.986 VEHICLE DENSITY 0-99 0.038 1.039 0.036 1.1 0.295

1.000

1.083

0

0.034

5.57

0.018

100-499

500 +

0

0.080

Table 5 summarizes the effects of the independent variables on motorcycle collision overall losses, derived from the claim frequency and claim severity models. Overall losses can be calculated by simple multiplication because the estimates for the effect of ABS on claim frequency and claim severity were in the form of ratios relative to the reference (baseline) categories. The standard error for overall losses can be calculated by taking the square root of the sum of the squared standard errors for claim frequency and severity. Based on the value of the estimate and the associated standard error, the corresponding two-sided p-value was derived from a standard normal distribution approximation.

The estimated effect of ABS was a significant (p=0.0003) 19 percent decrease in collision overall losses. This is a strong indication that ABS is effective in reducing collision overall losses for motorcycles. Estimated overall losses for the 18 make/series motorcycles, compared with those for the Honda Gold Wing (reference category), ranged from 54 percent lower for the Honda Reflex to 342 percent higher for the Honda CBR1000RR. Ten of the make/series estimates were significantly different from the reference category, and the other seven estimates were not significant. Vehicle age also had significant effects in reducing collision overall losses. Collision overall losses were estimated to decrease 23 percent (p<0.0001) for each 1-year increase in vehicle age. Driver age was a significant predictor of motorcycle collision overall losses. Compared with losses for rated drivers ages 40-64 (reference category), estimated overall losses were 177 percent higher (p<0.0001) for rated drivers 24 and younger, 25 percent higher (p=0.0011) for rated drivers ages 25-39, and 24 percent higher (p=0.004) for rated drivers 65 or older. Estimated overall losses for drivers with unknown gender were 10 percent lower (p=0.04) than those for male rated drivers (reference category). Estimated overall losses for rated female drivers were not significant.

Motorcycle collision overall losses were predicted to increase with vehicle density. Compared with losses in medium vehicle density in areas (reference category), estimated overall losses were 17 percent higher (p=0.002) in high vehicle density areas and 9 percent lower, nearly significant (p=0.08), in low vehicle density areas.

	Frequ	JENCY	SEVERITY		OVERALL LOSSES			
PARAMETER	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Exponent Estimate	P-VALUE
INTERCEPT	-9.586	0.046	9.086	0.040	-0.500	0.060	0.606	<0.0001
ABS								
ABS Model	-0.246	0.044	0.037	0.037	-0.209	0.058	0.812	0.0003
Non-ABS Model	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES								
Aprilia Caponord	0.100	1.001	-0.497	0.825	-0.397	1.297	0.673	0.760
Aprilia Scarabeo 500	0.871	0.270	-1.139	0.223	-0.267	0.350	0.765	0.444
Harley Davidson V-Rod	0.662	0.097	-0.503	0.083	0.159	0.128	1.173	0.212
Honda CBR1000RR	1.686	0.502	-0.199	0.479	1.487	0.694	4.424	0.032
Honda Gold Wing	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Honda Interceptor 800	0.882	0.078	-0.587	0.065	0.295	0.102	1.343	0.004
Honda Reflex	0.570	0.081	-1.355	0.067	-0.785	0.105	0.456	< 0.0001
Honda Silver Wing	0.716	0.076	-1.054	0.064	-0.337	0.099	0.714	0.0006
Honda ST1300	0.241	0.080	-0.260	0.067	-0.019	0.104	0.981	0.856
Kawasaki Concours 14	0.941	0.098	-0.406	0.083	0.535	0.129	1.707	< 0.0001
Suzuki Bandit 1250	0.941	0.136	-0.826	0.113	0.115	0.177	1.122	0.513
Suzuki B-King	1.432	0.222	-0.609	0.188	0.823	0.291	2.277	0.005
Suzuki Burgman 650	0.660	0.067	-0.845	0.056	-0.185	0.087	0.831	0.033
Suzuki SV650	1.093	0.084	-0.793	0.071	0.300	0.110	1.350	0.006
Suzuki V-Strom 650	0.104	0.127	-0.850	0.107	-0.746	0.165	0.474	< 0.0001
Triumph Sprint ST	1.065	0.104	-0.454	0.088	0.611	0.136	1.842	< 0.0001
Triumph Tiger	0.314	0.152	-0.491	0.126	-0.178	0.198	0.837	0.370
Yamaha FJR1300	0.449	0.062	-0.477	0.051	-0.028	0.080	0.973	0.731
VEHICLE AGE	-0.214	0.013	-0.042	0.010	-0.256	0.017	0.774	< 0.0001
RATED DRIVER AGE								
Unknown	0.362	0.068	0.089	0.060	0.451	0.091	1.570	< 0.0001
14-24	0.897	0.108	0.122	0.088	1.019	0.1387	2.771	< 0.0001
25-39	0.209	0.050	0.011	0.043	0.220	0.0661	1.246	0.001
40-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
65+	0.167	0.057	0.047	0.047	0.214	0.074	1.238	0.004
RATED DRIVER GENDER								
Female	-0.137	0.074	0.091	0.062	-0.046	0.096	0.955	0.634
Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Unknown	-0.087	0.038	-0.014	0.032	-0.101	0.050	0.904	0.043
VEHICLE DENSITY								
0-99	-0.136	0.043	0.038	0.036	-0.098	0.056	0.907	0.082
100-499	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
500+	0.081	0.040	0.080	0.034	0.161	0.052	1.174	0.002

TABLE 5 Results for Collision Overall Losses Derived from Claim Frequency and Severity Models

MEDICAL PAYMENT COVERAGE

Summary results of the regression analysis of motorcycle medical payment claim frequencies using the Poisson distribution are listed in Table 6. Results for the following independent variables: ABS, vehicle make/series, vehicle age and rated driver gender had p-values less than 0.05, indicating their effects on claim frequencies were statistically significant. Rated driver age was marginally significant while vehicle density was not significant.

Detailed results of the regression analysis using claim frequency as the dependent variable are listed in Table 6. The exponent of the intercept equals 0.000046 claims per day, or 16.8 claims per 1,000 insured vehicle years. The estimate corresponding to motorcycle ABS (-0.36) was highly significant (p=0.003). The estimate corresponded to a 30 percent reduction in medical payment claim frequencies for motorcycles equipped with ABS.

The estimate corresponding to the ABS effect on medical payment claim severity was a nonsignificant (p=0.32) 13 percent increase in claim severity, indicating ABS does not affect claim severity. Rated driver age and make/series were the strongest predictors of claim severity. The predictive value of make/series is perhaps a proxy for policy limits. More expensive motorcycles are more likely to have higher policy limits than less expensive motorcycles. Higher policy limits allow higher claim severities to occur in the event of a crash. The Honda Gold Wing is the most expensive motorcycle in the study. The make/series estimates for the other motorcycles studied are less than that for the Gold Wing except for the Honda CBR1000RR, which typically is among the motorcycles with the highest collision losses primarily due to its very high claim frequency.

Overall losses for medical payment coverage were calculated in the same fashion as collision coverage. ABS was estimated to reduce overall medical payment losses by 21 percent, although the estimate was not statistically significant (p=0.16).

TABLE 6 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis of Medical Payment Claim Frequencies

	DEGREES		
	of Freedom	CHI-SQUARE	P-VALUE
ABS	1	9.640	0.002
Vehicle Make/Series	5 17	92.390	< 0.0001
Vehicle Age	1	57.850	< 0.0001
Rated Driver Age	4	9.140	0.058
Rated Driver Gende	er 2	7.840	0.020
Vehicle Density	2	1.820	0.403

TABLE 7 DETAILED RESULTS OF LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF MEDICAL PAYMENT CLAIM FREQUENCIES

				123	
	_	EXPONENT	STANDARD	Сні-	
PARAMETER	ESTIMATE	ESTIMATE	Error	SQUARE	P-VALUE
INTERCEPT	-9.985	4.61E-05	0.109	8,387.580	< 0.0001
ABS					
ABS Model	-0.358	0.699	0.119	9.050	0.003
Non-ABS Model	0	1	0		
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES					
Aprilia Scarabeo 500	0.041	1.042	1.004	0.000	0.968
Harley Davidson V-Rod	0.206	1.229	0.261	0.620	0.431
Honda CBR1000RR	0.759	2.135	0.309	6.010	0.014
Honda CBR600RR	1.445	4.241	0.177	66.640	< 0.0001
Honda Gold Wing	0	1	0		
Honda Interceptor 800	0.367	1.444	0.252	2.120	0.146
Honda Reflex	0.744	2.104	0.168	19.620	< 0.0001
Honda Silver Wing	0.559	1.750	0.182	9.480	0.002
Honda ST1300	0.582	1.789	0.170	11.640	0.001
Kawasaki Concours 14	0.502	1.651	0.292	2.950	0.086
Suzuki Bandit 1250	0.343	1.410	0.416	0.680	0.409
Suzuki B-King	0.617	1.854	0.714	0.750	0.387
Suzuki Burgman 650	0.347	1.415	0.191	3.300	0.069
Suzuki SV650	1.137	3.119	0.187	37.010	< 0.0001
Suzuki V-Strom 650	0.406	1.501	0.257	2.500	0.114
Triumph Sprint ST	1.029	2.797	0.257	16.020	< 0.0001
Triumph Tiger	0.677	1.968	0.310	4.760	0.029
Yamaha FJR1300	0.028	1.028	0.190	0.020	0.884
VEHICLE AGE	-0.234	0.792	0.031	55.330	< 0.0001
RATED DRIVER AGE					
Unknown	0.064	1.066	0.141	0.210	0.649
14-24	0.529	1.698	0.191	7.680	0.006
25-39	0.077	1.080	0.125	0.380	0.537
40-64	0	1	0		
65+	-0.165	0.848	0.150	1.210	0.271
RATED DRIVER GENDER					
Female	-0.072	0.931	0.188	0.150	0.703
Male	0	1	0		
Unknown	0.253	1.288	0.093	7.340	0.007
VEHICLE DENSITY					
0-99	-0.028	0.972	0.096	0.080	0.772
100-499	0	1	0		
500+	0.104	1.109	0.096	1.180	0.277

TABLE 8 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis of Medical payment Claim Severities							
	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	CHI-SQUARE	P-VALUE				
ABS	1	1.010	0.314				
Vehicle Make/Series	17	53.340	< 0.0001				
Vehicle Age	1	0.000	0.981				
Rated Driver Age	4	34.650	< 0.0001				
Rated Driver Gender	2	15.970	0.0003				
Vehicle Density	2	0.060	0.970				

TABLE 9 DETAILED RESULTS OF LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF MEDICAL PAYMENT CLAIM SEVERITIES

01	IVILDICAL			.5	
Parameter	Estimate	Exponent Estimate	Standard Error	Chi- Square	P-VALUE
INTERCEPT	8.018	3,034.798	0.113	5,032.420	< 0.0001
	0.010	3,034.790	0.115	5,052.420	<0.0001
ABS	0.400	4 4 9 7	0.100	1.000	0.24.0
ABS Model	0.120	1.127	0.120	1.000	0.318
Non-ABS Model	0	1	0		
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES	1 1 2 0	0.224	0.007	1 500	0.200
Aprilia Scarabeo 500	-1.129	0.324	0.897	1.580	0.208
Harley Davidson V-Rod Honda CBR1000RR	-0.791 0.076	0.453	0.304	6.790 0.060	0.009
Honda CBR600RR		1.079	0.323		0.814
	-0.206 0	0.814 1	0.195 0	1.120	0.291
Honda Gold Wing	-0.440	0.644	0.262	2.820	0.093
Honda Interceptor 800 Honda Reflex	-0.440	0.644	0.262	5.720	0.093
Honda Silver Wing	-0.410	0.664	0.1718	8.540	0.017
Honda ST1300	-0.370	0.566	0.1949	6.970	0.004
Kawasaki Concours 14	-0.441	0.843	0.1672	0.300	0.008
Suzuki Bandit 1250	-0.172	0.624	0.3130	1.280	0.388
Suzuki B-King	-0.471	0.824	0.4172	0	0.239
Suzuki Burgman 650	-0.049 -0.957	0.332	0.903	23.740	< 0.0001
Suzuki SV650	-0.566	0.568	0.1903	8.190	0.004
Suzuki V-Strom 650	-0.746	0.474	0.2535	8.660	0.004
Triumph Sprint ST	-0.973	0.378	0.2555	12.460	0.0004
Triumph Tiger	-0.323	0.378	0.2730	1.010	0.316
Yamaha FJR1300	-0.549	0.578	0.1772	9.590	0.002
	-0.001	0.999	0.033	0.000	0.002
RATED DRIVER AGE	-0.001	0.555	0.055	0.000	0.501
Unknown	0.756	2.130	0.152	24.760	< 0.0001
14-24	-0.422	0.656	0.132	4.200	0.040
25-39	-0.422 0.073	1.076	0.200	4.200 0.250	0.617
40-64	0.073	1.070	0.147	0.230	0.017
65+	0.093	1.097	0.154	0.360	0.547
	0.055	1.057	0.154	0.500	0.547
Rated Driver Gender Female	0.200	1 400	0.191	4.380	0.026
Male	0.399	1.490 1	0.191	4.380	0.036
Unknown	0 -0.319	0.727	0.105	9.270	0.002
Vehicle Density	-0.519	0.727	0.105	9.270	0.002
0-99	0.023	1.023	0.098	0.050	0.818
100-499	0.023	1.025	0.098	0.050	0.010
500+	0.018	1.019	0.103	0.030	0.858
J00T	0.010	1.019	0.105	0.030	0.050

	Frequ	JENCY	Sev	/ERITY		OVERALL LOSSES		
Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Exponent Estimate	P-VALUE
INTERCEPT	-9.985	0.109	8.018	0.113	-1.967	0.157	0.140	< 0.0001
ABS								
ABS Model	-0.358	0.119	0.120	0.120	-0.239	0.169	0.788	0.157
Non-ABS Model	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES								
Aprilia Scarabeo 500	0.041	1.004	-1.129	0.897	-1.088	1.346	0.337	0.419
Harley Davidson V-Rod	0.206	0.261	-0.791	0.304	-0.585	0.401	0.557	0.144
Honda CBR1000RR	0.759	0.309	0.076	0.323	0.834	0.447	2.303	0.062
Honda CBR600RR	1.445	0.177	-0.206	0.195	1.239	0.263	3.452	< 0.0001
Honda Gold Wing	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Honda Interceptor 800	0.367	0.252	-0.440	0.262	-0.073	0.364	0.930	0.841
Honda Reflex	0.744	0.168	-0.410	0.172	0.334	0.240	1.396	0.165
Honda Silver Wing	0.559	0.182	-0.570	0.195	-0.010	0.266	0.990	0.969
Honda ST1300	0.582	0.170	-0.441	0.167	0.140	0.239	1.151	0.557
Kawasaki Concours 14	0.502	0.292	-0.172	0.316	0.330	0.430	1.390	0.443
Suzuki Bandit 1250	0.343	0.416	-0.471	0.417	-0.128	0.589	0.880	0.828
Suzuki B-King	0.617	0.714	-0.049	0.905	0.568	1.153	1.765	0.622
Suzuki Burgman 650	0.347	0.191	-0.957	0.197	-0.610	0.274	0.543	0.026
Suzuki SV650	1.137	0.187	-0.566	0.198	0.5716	0.272	1.771	0.036
Suzuki V-Strom 650	0.406	0.257	-0.746	0.254	-0.3400	0.361	0.712	0.346
Triumph Sprint ST	1.029	0.257	-0.973	0.276	0.055	0.377	1.057	0.884
Triumph Tiger	0.677	0.310	-0.323	0.322	0.354	0.447	1.425	0.428
Yamaha FJR1300	0.028	0.190	-0.549	0.177	-0.521	0.260	0.594	0.045
VEHICLE AGE	-0.234	0.031	-0.001	0.033	-0.235	0.046	0.791	< 0.0001
RATED DRIVER AGE								
Unknown	0.064	0.141	0.756	0.152	0.820	0.208	2.271	< 0.0001
14-24	0.529	0.191	-0.422	0.206	0.108	0.281	1.113	0.702
25-39	0.077	0.125	0.073	0.147	0.150	0.192	1.162	0.435
40-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
65+	-0.165	0.150	0.093	0.154	-0.072	0.215	0.930	0.737
RATED DRIVER GENDER								
Female	-0.072	0.188	0.399	0.191	0.327	0.268	1.387	0.222
Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Unknown	0.253	0.093	-0.319	0.105	-0.066	0.140	0.936	0.639
VEHICLE DENSITY								
0-99	-0.028	0.096	0.023	0.098	-0.005	0.137	0.995	0.969
100-499	0	0.050	0.025	0	0	0	1	0.505
500+	0.104	0.096	0.018	0.103	0.122	0.140	1.130	0.384
5001	0.101	0.000	0.010	0.105	0.122	0.110	1.150	0.504

TABLE 10 RESULTS FOR MEDICAL PAYMENT OVERALL LOSSES DERIVED FROM CLAIM FREQUENCY AND SEVERITY MODELS

BODILY INJURY LIABILITY LIABILITY COVERAGE

Due to limited exposure, only 12 of the 18 motorcycles used in collision coverage analysis were used in analysis of bodily injury liability coverage. Summary results of the regression analysis of motorcycle bodily injury liability claim frequencies using the Poisson distribution are listed in Table 11. Results for all of the independent variables except rated driver gender had p-values less than 0.05, indicating their effects on claim frequencies were statistically significant.

Detailed results of the regression analysis using claim frequency as the dependent variable are listed in Table 12. The exponent of the intercept equals 0.0000085 claims per day, or 3.1 claims per 1,000 insured vehicle years. The estimate corresponding to motor-cycle ABS (-0.394) was significant (p = 0.03). The estimate corresponded to a 33 percent reduction in bodily injury liability claim frequencies for motorcycles equipped with ABS. The estimated claim frequency for rated drivers 24 and younger was more than 4 times that for rated drivers ages 40-64 (reference category).

Of the 12 estimates for make/series, only two were statistically different from the reference make/series. Claim frequencies were estimated to be 0.474 for the Yamaha FJR1300 and 2.614 for the Honda CBR1000RR. Claim frequencies were estimated to decrease 16 percent (p = 0.0002) for each 1-year increase in vehicle age.

None of the variables in the analysis were shown to have a statistically significant impact on bodily injury liability claim severity. Although ABS was estimated to reduce overall bodily injury liability losses by more than 43 percent, the estimate was not statistically significant (p = 0.185).

REFERENCES

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. 2008. Traffic Safety Facts, 2007. Report no. DOT HS-810-990. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation.

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. 2005. Without Motorcycle Helmets We All Pay the Price. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation.

TABLE 11 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis of Bodily Injury Liability Claim Frequencies

	Degrees of Freedom	CHI-SQUARE	P-VALUE	
ABS	1	5.050	0.025	
Vehicle Make/Series	11	22.610	0.020	
Vehicle Age	1	14.540	0.0001	
Rated Driver Age	4	17.980	0.001	
Rated Driver Gende	r 2	4.010	0.135	
Vehicle Density	2	6.420	0.040	

TABLE 12 DETAILED RESULTS OF LINEAR REGRESSION Analysis OF BODILY Injury LIABILITY CLAIM FREQUENCIES

		EXPONENT	STANDARD	Сні-	
PARAMETER	ESTIMATE	ESTIMATE	Error	SQUARE	P-VALUE
INTERCEPT	-11.679	8.47E-06	0.159	5,429.750	< 0.0001
ABS				,	
ABS Model	-0.394	0.674	0.182	4.690	0.030
Non-ABS Model	0	1	0		
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES					
Harley Davidson V-Rod	-0.033	0.968	0.409	0.010	0.936
Honda CBR1000RR	0.961	2.614	0.342	7.900	0.005
Honda Gold Wing	0.000	1.000	0.000		
Honda Interceptor 800	-0.243	0.784	0.360	0.460	0.500
Honda Reflex	-0.689	0.502	0.3926	3.080	0.079
Honda Silver Wing	0.035	1.036	0.284	0.020	0.901
Honda ST1300	0.015	1.015	0.260	0.000	0.955
Kawasaki Concours 14	-0.225	0.799	0.517	0.190	0.664
Suzuki Bandit 1250	-1.088	0.337	1.006	1.170	0.280
Suzuki Burgman 650	-0.390	0.677	0.330	1.390	0.238
Suzuki SV650	-0.066	0.936	0.363	0.030	0.855
Yamaha FJR1300	-0.747	0.474	0.316	5.600	0.018
VEHICLE AGE	-0.176	0.839	0.047	14.110	0.0002
RATED DRIVER AGE					
Unknown	0.159	1.172	0.288	0.300	0.582
14-24	1.415	4.116	0.353	16.110	< 0.0001
25-39	-0.007	0.993	0.233	-	0.976
40-64	0	1	0		
65+	0.354	1.424	0.185	3.660	0.056
RATED DRIVER GENDER					
Female	-0.153	0.858	0.331	0.210	0.643
Male	0	1	0		
Unknown	-0.289	0.749	0.147	3.860	0.049
VEHICLE DENSITY					
0-99	-0.163	0.850	0.163	1.000	0.316
100-499	0	1	0		
500+	0.257	1.293	0.150	2.930	0.087

TABLE 13 Summary Results of Linear Regression Analysis of Bodily Injury Liability Claim Severities

	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	CHI-SQUARE	D VALUE
	OF FREEDOM	CHI-SQUAKE	P-VALUE
ABS	1	0.200	0.652
Vehicle Make/Series	11	6.490	0.839
Vehicle Age	1	0.230	0.628
Rated Driver Age	4	4.520	0.341
Rated Driver Gender	2	0.150	0.928
Vehicle Density	2	0.200	0.906

TABLE 14 DETAILED RESULTS OF LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSISOF BODILY INJURY LIABILITY CLAIM SEVERITIES						
		EXPONENT	STANDARD	Сні-		
PARAMETER	ESTIMATE	Estimate	Error	SQUARE	P-VALUE	
INTERCEPT	10.150	25,578.310	0.293	1,201.140	< 0.0001	
ABS						
ABS Model	-0.179	0.836	0.393	0.210	0.648	
Non-ABS Model	0	1	0			
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES						
Harley Davidson V-Rod	-0.289	0.749	0.994	0.080	0.771	
Honda CBR1000RR	0.108	1.114	0.768	0.020	0.888	
Honda Gold Wing	0	1	0			
Honda Interceptor 800	0.622	1.863	0.748	0.690	0.405	
Honda Reflex	-1.273	0	0.906	1.980	0.160	
Honda Silver Wing	-0.856	0.425	0.872	0.960	0.326	
Honda ST1300	-0.512	0.599	0.531	0.930	0.334	
Kawasaki Concours 14	-0.572	0.564	0.832	0.470	0.491	
Suzuki Bandit 1250	-0.014	0.986	1.535	0.000	0.993	
Suzuki Burgman 650	-0.862	0.422	0.696	1.530	0.216	
Suzuki SV650	-0.144	0.866	0.913	0.020	0.875	
Yamaha FJR1300	-0.003	0.997	0.609	0	0.996	
VEHICLE AGE	-0.043	0.958	0.088	0.240	0.626	
RATED DRIVER AGE						
Unknown	-1.347	0.260	0.699	3.710	0.054	
14-24	-0.665	0.514	0.806	0.680	0.409	
25-39	-0.097	0.908	0.681	0.020	0.887	
40-64	0	1	0			
65+	0.238	1.269	0.390	0.370	0.541	
RATED DRIVER GENDER						
Female	0.226	1.254	0.671	0.110	0.736	
Male	0	1	0			
Unknown	0.079	1.082	0.320	0.060	0.806	
VEHICLE DENSITY						
0-99	0.052	1.054	0.336	0.020	0.876	
100-499	0	1	0			
500+	0.162	1.176	0.367	0.190	0.659	

	DERIVED F	ROM CLAI	m Frequei	NCY AND S	Severity M	ODELS		
	FREQU	UENCY	Sev	VERITY		OVERAL	l Losses	
PARAMETER	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Exponent Estimate	P-VALUE
INTERCEPT	-11.679	0.159	10.150	0.293	-1.529	0.333	0.217	< 0.0001
ABS								
ABS Model	-0.394	0.182	-0.179	0.393	-0.574	0.433	0.563	0.185
Non-ABS Model	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES								
Harley Davidson V-Rod	-0.033	0.409	-0.289	0.994	-0.322	1.075	0.725	0.765
Honda CBR1000RR	0.961	0.342	0.108	0.768	1.069	0.841	2.912	0.204
Honda Gold Wing	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Honda Interceptor 800	-0.243	0.360	0.622	0.748	0.379	0.830	1.461	0.648
Honda Reflex	-0.689	0.393	-1.273	0.906	-1.962	0.987	0.141	0.047
Honda Silver Wing	0.035	0.284	-0.856	0.872	-0.821	0.917	0.440	0.371
Honda ST1300	0.015	0.260	-0.512	0.531	-0.498	0.591	0.608	0.400
Kawasaki Concours 14	-0.225	0.517	-0.572	0.832	-0.797	0.979	0.451	0.416
Suzuki Bandit 1250	-1.088	1.006	-0.014	1.535	-1.102	1.836	0.332	0.548
Suzuki Burgman 650	-0.390	0.330	-0.862	0.696	-1.251	0.770	0.286	0.104
Suzuki SV650	-0.066	0.363	-0.144	0.913	-0.210	0.982	0.811	0.831
Yamaha FJR1300	-0.747	0.316	-0.003	0.609	-0.751	0.686	0.472	0.274
VEHICLE AGE	-0.176	0.047	-0.043	0.088	-0.219	0.100	0.803	0.028
RATED DRIVER AGE								
Unknown	0.159	0.288	-1.347	0.699	-1.188	0.756	0.305	0.116
14-24	1.415	0.353	-0.665	0.806	0.750	0.879	2.116	0.394
25-39	-0.007	0.233	-0.097	0.681	-0.104	0.720	0.901	0.885
40-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
65+	0.354	0.185	0.238	0.390	0.592	0.431	1.808	0.170
RATED DRIVER GENDER								
Female	-0.153	0.331	0.226	0.671	0.073	0.748	1.076	0.922
Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Unknown	-0.289	0.147	0.079	0.320	-0.210	0.352	0.810	0.550
VEHICLE DENSITY								
0-99	-0.163	0.163	0.052	0.336	-0.111	0.373	0.895	0.767
100-499	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
500+	0.257	0.150	0.162	0.367	0.419	0.397	1.520	0.291

TABLE 15 RESULTS FOR BODILY INJURY LIABILITY OVERALL LOSSES DERIVED FROM CLAIM FREQUENCY AND SEVERITY MODELS

	Exposure	PERCENT	Exposure	PERCENT
/ehicle Make/Series	WITHOUT ABS	OF SERIES	WITH ABS	OF SERIES
Aprilia Caponord	1	2%	64	98%
Aprilia Scarabeo 500	120	34%	234	66%
Harley Davidson V-Rod	2,052	79%	551	21%
Honda CBR1000RR	22	89%	3	11%
Honda Gold Wing	61,949	80%	15,712	20%
Honda Interceptor 800	4,335	76%	1,404	24%
Honda Reflex	6,001	87%	909	13%
Honda Silver Wing	5,961	84%	1,122	16%
Honda ST1300	6,781	68%	3,142	32%
Kawasaki Concours 14	1,120	53%	978	47%
Suzuki Bandit 1250	885	82%	198	18%
Suzuki B-King	202	99%	3	1%
Suzuki Burgman 650	7,447	86%	1,198	14%
Suzuki SV650	2,702	95%	131	5%
Suzuki V-Strom 650	,	93 % 85%	426	15%
	2,339			
Triumph Sprint ST	1,314	66%	680	34%
Triumph Tiger	1,470	84%	281	16%
Yamaha FJR1300	6,397	37%	10,765	63%
Total	111,099	75%	37,801	25%
	EXPOSURE	PERCENT OF TOT	ſAL	
Vehicle Age				
-1	1,227	1%		
0	22,160	15%		
1	34,976	23%		
2	31,451	21%		
3 4	25,513	17% 13%		
5	18,997 11,569	8%		
6	3,008	2%		
	5,000	2 70		
Rated Driver Age Unknown	9,034	6%		
14-24	1,063	1%		
25-39	16,550	11%		
	104,169	70%		
40-64		12%		
40-64 65+	18,084			
65+	18,084			
65+	9,684	7%		
65+ Rated Driver Gender		7% 56%		
65+ Cated Driver Gender Female	9,684			
65+ Rated Driver Gender Female Male Unknown	9,684 83,269	56%		
65+ Rated Driver Gender Female Male Unknown	9,684 83,269 55,947	56%		
65+ Rated Driver Gender Female Male Unknown /ehicle Density	9,684 83,269	56% 38%		

APPENDIX A DISTRIBUTION OF EXPOSURE FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLES, COLLISION COVERAGE

Exposure without ABS 31 647 273 784 17,351	PERCENT OF SERIES 35% 83% 99%	Exposure with ABS 57 129	PERCENT OF SERIES
647 273 784	83%		
273 784		129	
784	99%		17%
		3	1%
17 351	100%	2	0%
17,551	81%	3,974	19%
942	77%	283	23%
1,984	89%	252	11%
1,837	83%	368	17%
1,704	72%	673	28%
267	54%	230	46%
226	78%	65	22%
57	99%	1	1%
1,455	80%	362	20%
755	96%	34	4%
		117	16%
			36%
			13%
			72%
30,671	77%	9,420	23%
Exposure	PERCENT OF TOT	ſAL	
206	10/		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	13%		
3,323	8%		
1,060	3%		
EXPOSURE (YRS)	%		
4,144	10%		
582	1%		
4,257	11%		
,			
,			
,			
13,389	33%		
16,099	40%		
	1,704 267 226 57 1,455 755 611 323 378 1,045 30,671 Exposure 306 5,973 9,330 8,211 6,799 5,090 3,323 1,060 Exposure (Yrs) 4,144 582	1,704 72% 267 54% 226 78% 57 99% 1,455 80% 755 96% 611 84% 323 64% 378 87% 1,045 28% 30,671 77% Exposure Percent of Tot 306 1% 5,973 15% 9,330 23% 8,211 20% 6,799 17% 5,090 13% 3,323 8% 1,060 3% Exposure (Yrs) % 4,144 10% 5,82 1% 4,257 11% 25,977 65% 5,130 13% 2,155 5% 25,866 65% 12,070 30% Exposure (Yrs) %	1,704 72% 673 267 54% 230 226 78% 65 57 99% 1 1,455 80% 362 755 96% 34 611 84% 117 323 64% 182 378 87% 55 1,045 28% 2,634 30,671 77% 9,420 Exposure Percent of Total 306 1% 5,973 5,973 15% 9,330 23% 8,211 20% 6,799 17% 5,090 13% 3,323 8% 1,060 3% 3% 1,060 3% 4,144 10% 582 1% 4,257 11% 25,977 65% 5,130 13% 2,155 5% 2,5,866 65% 12,070 30% 2,155 5% 25,866 65% 12,070 30% 5,14<

APPENDIX B DISTRIBUTION OF EXPOSURE FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLE, MEDICAL PAYMENT COVERAGE

BODILY INJURY LIABILITY COVERAGE						
VEHICLE MAKE/SERIES	Exposure without ABS	Percent of Series	Exposure with ABS	Percent of Series		
Harley Davidson V-Rod	1,825	79%	471	21%		
Honda CBR1000RR	1,424	100%	2	0%		
Honda Gold Wing	55,249	80%	13,922	20%		
Honda Interceptor 800	4,707	76%	1,472	24%		
Honda Reflex	6,302	87%	920	13%		
Honda Silver Wing	6,112	85%	1,110	15%		
Honda ST1300	6,357	69%	2,893	31%		
Kawasaki Concours 14	1,067	55%	870	45%		
Suzuki Bandit 1250	962	82%	209	18%		
Suzuki Burgman 650	5,109	82%	1,148	18%		
Suzuki SV650	3,071	96%	137	4%		
Yamaha FIR1300	4,420	30%	10,317	70%		
Total	96,605	74%	33,470	26%		
	Exposure	PERCENT OF TOT				
VEHICLE AGE	1 200	10/				
-1 0	1,206 20,356	1% 16%				
1	20,336	22%				
2	27,415	22 /8				
3	23,034	18%				
4	17,077	13%				
5	10,458	8%				
6	1,487	1%				
Rated Driver Age	EXPOSURE (YRS)	%				
Unknown	8,977	7%				
14-24	1,304	1%				
25-39	14,454	11%				
40-64	88,583	68%				
65+	16,758	13%				
RATED DRIVER GENDER	EXPOSURE (YRS)	%				
Female		4%				
	5,835					
Male	72,444	56%				
Unknown	72,444 51,797	56% 40%				
Unknown Vehicle Density	72,444 51,797 Exposure (Yrs)	56% 40% %				
Unknown Vehicle Density 0-99	72,444 51,797 Exposure (Yrs) 39,326	56% 40% % 30%				
Unknown Vehicle Density	72,444 51,797 Exposure (Yrs)	56% 40% %				

APPENDIX C DISTRIBUTION OF EXPOSURE FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLE, BODILY INJURY LIABILITY COVERAGE



1005 North Glebe Road Arlington, VA 22201